

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/647,889	08/25/2003	Daniel Joseph Brunelle	122897-1 3526		
6147	7590 11/29/2005		EXAMINER		
GENERAL F	ELECTRIC COMPAN	TRUONG, DUC			
GLOBAL RESEARCH PATENT DOCKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A59			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NISKAYUNA			1711	-	

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/647,889		BRUNELLE ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
			Duc Truong		1711			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	ication appe	ears on the cover sh	eet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Mosions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.130 nunication. atutory period wi will, by statute, of	TE OF THIS COMN 6(a). In no event, however, Il apply and will expire SIX (cause the application to be	MUNICATION may a reply be tim (6) MONTHS from to come ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this o) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 28 Se	ptember 2005.			•		
•	•		action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition	for allowan	ce except for forma	l matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is		
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the a	pplication.		-				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>38-40</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-37 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or	election requireme	nt.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner	, •					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:			ed to by the E	xaminer.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office actio	n for a list o	of the certified copie	s not receive	d.			
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO 6) Other:						O-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed 9/28/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The response submitted by Applicant does not overcome the rejection made by Examiner in the last office action.

Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Schmidhauser'974.

The rejection is maintained for the reasons as stated in the last office action and for the following reasons:

Note that this is the claimed method for preparing an aromatic polyether polymer and is not the claimed method for preparing an alkali metal salt of a dihydroxy substituted aromatic hydrocarbon.

Applicant's arguments are based on steps of the process to form alkali metal salt of a dihydroxy substituted aromatic hydrocarbon which is used as one of the reactants in the claimed method for preparing an aromatic polyether polymer.

The purpose of using the steps of the process, as in the embodiment of claim 1, is to provide an alkali metal salt of a dihydroxy substituted aromatic hydrocarbon in very dry condition, having less than about 50 ppm water.

The reference does disclose, at least in example 6, a process for preparing an alkali metal of a dihydroxy substituted aromatic hydrocarbon, in that the solution has been refluxed, distilled, then refluxed--- and then vacuum dried, in order to get a very dried

Application/Control Number: 10/647,889

Art Unit: 1711

product, and inherently less than 50 ppm of water, as in the instant claims. (see last office action).

Applicant's arguments are also based on the use of such claimed method, to be associated with reduced particle size. Said arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive since they are not commensurate in scope with the claims. The reference clearly discloses the required reactants under steps of the process for preparing an aromatic polyether polymer (see last office action), therefore the claimed reaction mixture contains at most 20 ppm by weight of water must be considered inherent in the prior art unless Applicant provides evidence to show that they are different.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1711

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-1081.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DUCTRUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER
